

**MINUTES OF THE MILLVILLE
TOWN COUNCIL TELECONFERENCE MEETING
May 12, 2020 @ 7:00 PM**

In attendance were Mayor Steve Maneri, Deputy Mayor Ronald Belinko, Treasurer Sharon Brienza, Secretary Barbara Ryer, Council Member Peter Michel, Town Solicitor Seth Thompson, GMB Representative Andrew Lyons Jr., Town Manager Debbie Botchie, Town Code & Building Official Eric Evans, and Town Clerk Matt Amerling.

Town Manager Debbie Botchie stated this open meeting of the Millville Town Council is being conducted remotely consistent with Governor Carney's Executive Order of March 13, 2020, due to the current State of Emergency regarding the Coronavirus pandemic. Ms. Botchie stated in order to mitigate the transmission of the virus and reduce risk of COVID-19 illness, the Town has been advised and directed by the Governor to suspend public gatherings, and as such, the Governor's Order suspends the requirement of the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) to have all meetings in a publicly accessible physical location. Ms. Botchie further stated all members of public bodies are allowed and encouraged to participate remotely. Ms. Botchie stated the Order allows public bodies to meet entirely remotely so long as reasonable public access is afforded so that the public can follow along with the deliberations of the meeting and hear the comments of the Councilmembers, who must in turn be able to hear the comments of all those participating. Ms. Botchie stated the other rules and procedures applicable to open meetings, including public hearings, remain in effect. Ms. Botchie stated ensuring public access does not mandate public participation unless the opportunity for such participation is otherwise required by law. Ms. Botchie stated this meeting will feature agenda items that do not require a public hearing and one item that does require a public hearing during which public comments will be received. Ms. Botchie stated for matters that are not listed on the agenda with public comment, the Town Council's Policies and Procedures give discretion to the Mayor whether to permit public comment before or during consideration of such matters. Ms. Botchie stated even if members of the public do not provide comment on any given agenda item, participants are advised that people may elect to still listen, and those persons are not required to identify themselves. Ms. Botchie stated for this meeting, the Millville Town Council is convening by teleconference, as posted on the Town's website identifying how the public may join, and to please note that this meeting is being recorded. Ms. Botchie stated all supporting materials of this body are available on the Town's website and the public is encouraged to follow along using the posted agenda.

1. CALL MEETING TO ORDER

Mayor Steve Maneri called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m.

2. ROLL CALL

Mayor Steve Maneri stated everyone was present.

3. ADOPTION OF TOWN COUNCIL MINUTES

A. Adoption of Town Council Teleconference Minutes – April 28, 2020

Treasurer Sharon Brienza motioned to approve the April 28, 2020, Town Council meeting minutes. Secretary Barbara Ryer seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.

4. FINANCIAL REPORT – Treasurer Sharon L. Brienza

A. April 2020

Treasurer Sharon Brienza read the Financial Report for the month ending 4/30/20.

April 30, 2020:

General Revenue:	\$ 70,882.	Restricted Revenue:	\$ 152,330.
General Expenses:	68,643.	Restricted Expenses:	268,846.

5. ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS

A. Administrative Report for April 2020

Town Manager Debbie Botchie stated the Town applied to the Delaware Forest Service tree grant for purchasing and planting trees in the Town park and the Town was awarded a grant of five-thousand dollars (\$5,000.00). Ms. Botchie and Council thanked Town Clerk Matt Amerling on applying for the grant. Mayor Steve Maneri asked when the tree planting has to be completed by. Mr. Amerling stated by November 6, 2020.

MOTION TO ENTER PUBLIC HEARING

Ms. Ryer motioned to enter the public hearing at 7:10 p.m. Council Member Peter Michel seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0. Ms. Brienza and Mayor Maneri abstained from the vote.

6. NEW BUSINESS

A. Public Hearing Notice – Secretary

B. Written Comments

Ms. Botchie stated there was one set of comments received but it was received after the May 6, 2020, twelve (12) noon deadline; and there were no other written comments.

C. Discuss a waiver requested by Craig Havenner for Sea Breeze Village (formerly known as Village 8) of Millville by the Sea, of the Development Standards. At its April 16, 2020, meeting, the Millville Planning & Zoning Commission (P&Z) approved 3-0-2 abstentions to recommend to Town Council to approve the partial waivers to the approved MBTS Development Performance Standards for the two (2) unit villas in Sea Breeze Village, as well as making sure the exhibits all match the corresponding.

Mr. Craig Havenner, of Christopher Companies, stated they are requesting a waiver from the MBTS Development Performance Standards, which were originally developed for this master planned community (MPC) by the original developer and Christopher Companies have run into one (1) concern in designing a new product for Sea Breeze Village (Village 8). Mr. Havenner stated there are fifty-four (54) lots in Sea Breeze Village and it's very low density overall. Mr. Havenner stated working with this new design, Christopher Companies got to the point where they thought – given the openness of the site and the ability of trying to have a more appealing streetscape – it makes sense for Christopher to try and create two (2) different home styles, with one of them having a side entrance, which would create a little more privacy for the homebuyer and the front doors wouldn't be right next to one another. Mr. Havenner stated they ended up with a side entrance porch and going through the development standards which previously occurred for Millville by the Sea (MBTS), they encountered a problem with their setback of a fifteen (15)-foot minimum on the sides and a thirty (30)-foot minimum between buildings. Mr. Havenner stated with the porches, Christopher will be encroaching into that setback even though they're both open porches, and the Town Code states "open porches and significant architectural projections may not encroach into the side yard setback." Mr. Havenner stated another issue of concern possibly with the architecture is Christopher will have a bay window on the end of these homes which will extend about two (2) feet from the outside wall barely but it would extend into the prohibited encroachment space per the Development Standard. Mr. Havenner stated this waiver of the development standards Christopher is requesting is to allow a fifteen (15)-foot minimum side yard setback for the main building structure, with allowable encroachment of unenclosed porches up to five-and-a-half (5.5) feet and with a thirty (30)-foot minimum maintained between the main building walls. Mr. Havenner stated essentially the main building walls will maintain the thirty (30) feet of prescribed separation, with the only encroachment being the side yard porches which won't be enclosed and will have columns to support the roof. Mr. Havenner stated his suggestion is to have the standards say a "fifteen (15) feet minimum side yard setback for main building structure with allowable encroachment of unenclosed porches up to five-and-a-half (5.5) feet and with thirty (30) feet minimum maintained between main building walls." Mr. Havenner stated there would still be the same setbacks between the buildings, and it's just the porch roof which extends out between the first and second stories of the building.

Mr. Havenner stated another issue of concern possibly with the architecture is Christopher will have a bay window on the end of these homes which will extend about up to two-and-a-half (2 ½) feet into the side yard, but it would not extend the ground – just the window sticking out, not the floor. Mr. Havenner stated Christopher is requesting for a partial waiver to allow open porches under fifty (50) square feet in floor area may encroach up to five-point-six (5.6) feet into the side yard setback and minor projections, such as bay windows, may encroach up to two-point-five (2.5) feet into the side yard setback, and significant architectural projections may not encroach into the side yard setback. Mr. Havenner further stated Sea Breeze Village will offer the opportunity for this style of product in the MBTS community, which was only offered at the developments' beginning in 2008 through 2011, which are the three-story townhomes on the other side of

Substation Road. Mr. Havenner stated Christopher found with those that they just aren't very good for this current market as most buyers are not keen on having stairs. Mr. Havenner stated these products are thirty-two (32) feet wide and is designed to offer a more affordable price point and a different lifestyle from the single-family detached homes currently offered in the community. Mr. Havenner stated the side-entry design is proposed to lend itself to a more aesthetically pleasing street scape and allows for an additional measure of privacy for the homeowners. Mr. Havenner stated the side-entry porches also allow for a more attractive side elevation for the homes; and the porches are proposed to be covered, with a column supported roof above to protect occupants and visitors from the elements and they will not be enclosed on the sides in any way. Mr. Havenner further stated the entry porches are proposed to be eight (8) feet wide and will extend into the side yard a total of five (5) feet. Mr. Havenner directed the P&Z to view exhibits A, B, C and D on the PowerPoint presentation to view the porch and showing how from the porch to the property line, there is still slightly over ten (10) feet of open space with a combined side yard spacing of twenty-point-thirty-two (20.32) feet; and there is much more side yard space compared to other MBTS villages. Mr. Havenner stated this was approved by the Town P&Z at their last meeting, and the other issue Christopher would have confronted with this was having a sidewalk in the side yard; but Council had already addressed the issue as a separate matter with the ordinance approved at its February 12, 2020, Council meeting. Mr. Havenner stated they will conform to the required type of walkway consisting of a flagstone or patio paver-style walkway as opposed to concrete or a solid, impervious walkway. Mr. Havenner stated under the circumstances and for the reasons noted in this presentation, Christopher at Millville respectfully requests approval of its request to modify Sections VI, B (3) e. and four (4) of the approved Development Performance Standards for the exclusive benefit of Sea Breeze Village/Village 8, MBTS.

Mr. Andrew Lyons, Jr., of GMB, stated he has reviewed the request against the plan and the performance standards, and this is definitely a request which would be recommended for the waiver because the distance is much farther at fifteen (15) feet compared to other villages in MBTS. Mr. Lyons stated this request is getting made because this has got the most restrictive residential side yard in the Code, and looking at it in the residential section of zoning, the side yard setback is seven (7) feet and in most of MBTS, the setback is seven (7). Mr. Lyons stated he looked at the residential planned community (RPC) Code and a couple of the approved plans there, which were very similar to the master planned community (MPC) where they are negotiated. Mr. Lyons stated in Bishop's Landing, townhomes have a twenty (20) feet separation instead of thirty (30), so even if the side yard porches are granted, you would have the same distance between the buildings as you would in other sections. Mr. Lyons stated, from a planning perspective, he sees no issue with this request.

Town Code & Building Official Eric Evans stated if Council chooses to approve, make sure in the condition it's stipulated to be an "open, covered patio" with the condition it can never be closed in with screen, windows or walls. Mr. Evans stated otherwise he has no issues and this is a nice design. Ms. Botchie stated MPCs are created for high density

and different housing units in mixed residential style. Ms. Botchie stated she likes the architecture of this project and the fact the density is only two-point-ten (2.10) units per acre, which is the lowest density the Town has yet in a village; because they can build up to eight (8) units per acre.

Town Solicitor Seth Thompson stated we are dealing with the Performance Standards which are specific to this community, which is why this isn't a Town Board of Adjustment (BOA) issue. Mr. Thompson stated the Performance Standards themselves indicate they're supposed to be an appropriate variation of architectural products, so that seems to support the application; and the other element is the actual Performance Standards don't preclude side entrances. Mr. Thompson stated if this application were for a front yard encroachment, the Town allows for five (5) feet as well as five (5) feet for into the rear yard. Mr. Thompson stated this issue of having a side entrance wasn't addressed during the time of the writing of the Performance Standards, so it would be appropriate for the applicant to request a partial waiver.

Mr. Michel asked Mr. Evans about the language needed for having only an open area. Mr. Evans stated to insure the side entrance is never enclosed via a screened porch and/or three-season room, he's requesting Council add the condition the side porch is never enclosed. Ms. Ryer stated she likes the lower density and likes the structures are a little farther apart so they're "not on top of each other"; and she is in favor of this request. Deputy Mayor Ronald Belinko stated the PowerPoint presentation answered a lot of his questions and listening to Mr. Lyons, Mr. Evans and Mr. Thompson, this appears to be a very attractive addition to MBTS.

D. Residents/Property Owner Comments & Questions

Ms. Sally Griffin, of Huntington Street, stated she is not in favor of the Town modifying the currently adopted Development Standards that specify a fifteen (15)-foot minimum side yard setback with thirty (30) feet minimum between buildings. Ms. Griffin stated the request to allow five-and-a-half (5 ½)-foot encroachments to allow open porches has the potential to reduce the minimum between buildings from thirty (30) feet to nineteen (19) feet. Ms. Griffin stated she believes the Town is moving in a good direction by increasing setback distances after witnessing the appearances of planned development communities in Millville. Ms. Griffin stated the increased setbacks are having a positive impact on the visual quality of our communities and affect the desirability of living in the Town of Millville. Ms. Griffin stated we are learning from past decisions and making appropriate corrections; and, in her opinion, granting a waiver would be a step backwards. Ms. Griffin further stated an additional concern from a resident point-of-view – rather than a builder or architect – is what impact does this have on the MBTS Community Standards and Design Guidelines? Ms. Griffin stated Sea Breeze Village will be a part of and governed by the MBTS Master Community Association, and those future residents will need to adhere to the established governing documents and guidelines the same as all other villages in MBTS. Ms. Griffin stated oftentimes, a new homeowner wishes to put their own "personal stamp" or modification on their property, or make adjustments after living

in the home after a period of time. Ms. Griffin stated she is concerned on how the modification of standards by the Town would impact current and future residents. Ms. Griffin asked what will be decided when a resident requests to enclose their porch? Ms. Griffin stated Mr. Evans has just answered that question. Ms. Griffin stated this is allowed in other villages in MBTS. Ms. Griffin stated the current MBTS guidelines are fairly limiting on what is permissible on the side of a home. Ms. Griffin further stated she does not wish for the residents to have to tackle the issues similar to the Cottage-style homes and their side yard easement issues that were not fully thought out prior to construction or sales. Ms. Griffin stated the Cottages were and are a popular product; however, the subsequent request from homeowners to be able to make changes such as closing in porches, adding patios, exterior showers, trash enclosures, fences, etc., became quite complex and were not thought of or addressed at the development or building stage. Ms. Griffin stated the guidelines for the MPC of MBTS need to apply to all villages. Ms. Griffin further stated past experience shows us that there are many future questions and possible issues that will need to be decided before modifying side yard setbacks. Ms. Griffin stated from a builder perspective, the new villa designs are fresh and will very likely be a popular choice with new residents; and Ms. Griffin can certainly envision many requests for patios and even screen porches along with the trash enclosures, outside showers, etc. Ms. Griffin stated the issues arise with designing a village and a new home product that will fit in with the entire MBTS community. Ms. Griffin stated she does not feel the Town of Millville should modify the Development Standards that are in place. Ms. Griffin stated she believes future residents will want to expand the use of their side yards and the builder/developer should consider those possibilities when designing a new product. Ms. Griffin stated she believes in preserving as much open space as possible – pocket parks, gardens and places for wildlife are all important and should be a part of the design. Ms. Griffin stated even though the request to the Town is stated as an exclusive benefit to Sea Breeze Village/Village 8, Ms. Griffin does not believe a precedent should be set and requests the modification to reduce the side yard setback be denied.

Ms. Botchie asked Ms. Griffin if Ms. Griffin received Ms. Botchie's email sent this afternoon addressing the issues Ms. Griffin raised in her letter she read this evening. Ms. Griffin stated she did receive the email, but she spoke with a few other residents and they felt Ms. Griffin was "on target" so she wanted to read her letter this evening to be taken into consideration. Mr. Lyons stated regarding the side yard setbacks, those setbacks in MBTS villages at this time are still less than what would be the side yard setbacks with the encroachment. Mr. Lyons stated even if the encroachment is granted by Council tonight, the applicant would still have more side yard between buildings than anywhere else in MBTS now. Mr. Evans stated the applicant is encroaching a five-by-eight (5x8) and also for a bay window so there is no excess floor space. Mr. Evans stated no other structures – such as porches or decks – will be allowed to be put on the side except for maybe for outside showers or trash enclosures, but that is up to the MBTS HOA; and they would not be able to enlarge or expand upon the established structure already in place in the side yard. Mr. Evans stated if the property owner wants to expand upon or alter structures in the front or rear yard, they may be able to depending on setbacks and regulations through their HOA covenants and Town Code.

MOTION TO CLOSE PUBLIC HEARING

Ms. Ryer motioned to close the public hearing at 7:50 p.m. Mr. Michel seconded the motion. Motion carried 3-0-2 abstentions.

7. NEW BUSINESS

A. Discuss and possible vote on a waiver requested by Craig Havenner for Sea Breeze Village (formerly known as Village 8) of Millville by the Sea, of the Development Standards.

- Deputy Mayor Belinko requests individual vote

Ms. Ryer motioned to approve the partial waivers to the approved MBTS Development Performance Standards to allow for unenclosed porches to encroach up to five-point-five (5.5) feet in the existing fifteen (15)-foot side yard setback and to allow for minor projections such as a bay window to encroach up to two-point-five (2.5) feet in the existing fifteen (15)-foot side yard setback for the two (2) unit villas in Sea Breeze Village, with the condition the open side porches and entranceways cannot be expanded upon in width or length, and cannot be enclosed. Mr. Michel seconded the motion. Ms. Brienza abstained. Ms. Ryer voted yes. Mr. Michel voted yes. Mr. Belinko voted yes. Mayor Maneri abstained. Motion carried 3-0-2 abstentions. Ms. Brienza and Mayor Maneri rejoined Council.

B. Discuss and possible vote to have trash receptacles and dog waste stations at the Town park.

Mayor Maneri stated the Town Manager has come to the stage as to whether or not the Town park is going to have trash receptacles throughout the park or have to take home trash like its done in Delaware state parks. Mayor Maneri stated these items need to be shown on the site plan and purchased. Mayor Maneri stated Delaware's ban on plastic bags begins January 1, 2021, and Mayor Maneri spoke with the Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control (DNREC) to ask them what will replace their plastic bags and DNREC told him they are looking to price out biodegradable bags. Mayor Maneri further stated if the park will have dog waste stations, those will also need to be shown on the site plan and purchased. Ms. Botchie stated this will require two (2) discussions and two (2) separate votes.

Mayor Maneri stated Council will start with discussing the trash receptacles. Mr. Belinko stated he thinks it will be difficult to maintain a clean park without having some place for visitors to place their trash. Mr. Belinko stated in terms of bringing and leaving with your own plastic bags, he knows as of January 2021, the State is banning those carry-out bags and the State park's idea of having biodegradable bags will be very expensive. Mr. Belinko stated he thinks some receptacle which cannot blow away and people cannot carry away should be strategically located throughout the park. Ms. Ryer stated she is in favor of having trash receptacles as well and possibly outsourcing a company or someone to come pick up and dump the trash. Ms. Brienza stated she agrees with having trash receptacles

and we have to hope people will use them. Mr. Michel stated he thinks the Town will need large trash receptacles in the park. Mayor Maneri stated he's looking at how the Town will pick up the trash receptacles when they're full, and he notices in a lot of parks how people just pile and overflow trash in the receptacles. Mayor Maneri stated he was going to get three (3) fifty-five (55)-gallon trash bins – like the ones you have at your home – and place them in the community building for parties, and roll them to the curb for pick-up. Ms. Brienza stated Council first needs to decide what kind of receptacles the park will have and then Council can decide on who's going to pick up the trash, but like Ms. Ryer mentioned, the Town will probably have to outsource the pick-up. Mr. Evans stated he understands the Mayor wants to get the three (3) 55-gallon trash bins for the community building for events, but if the Town gets the proper dog stations, they are mounted in the ground and they have baskets upon them, which will help solve two (2) of the issues of having a place to put dog waste and having a trash receptacle at the park and it will just be a matter of someone cleaning the bags out and throwing the bags from the dog waste stations into the 55-gallon bins. Mayor Maneri stated the baskets on the dog waste stations are much smaller and would not hold as much garbage; so if the Town is going to go with trash receptacles, they need to be fairly large and maybe have an inside can to be able to take out when dumping. Ms. Botchie stated through all of her International City Managers Association (IMCA) conferences, there are several vendors who sell the receptacles for trash and Ms. Botchie asked Mayor Maneri if he would like her to look into what sizes and prices are available. Mayor Maneri stated yes. Ms. Ryer stated the Town can get thirty-two (32)-gallon receptacles which are made of steel and can be aesthetically pleasing. Mayor Maneri asked how many receptacles Council thinks the park will need. Mr. Belinko asked Mr. Evans since he's at the park, how many receptacles the park will need. Mr. Evans stated with the pickleball courts, six (6) to seven (7) receptacles. Mr. Evans stated Council may also consider having a small enclosed dumpster on-site at the park for weekly or bi-weekly pick-up, and the Town can prevent people from dumping into the dumpster because there will be multiple cameras filming at the park, and those cameras can catch who is in violation. Mr. Evans stated the Town can always add more receptacles as time moves on. Mayor Maneri stated he would like to hear a motion to have four (4) to six (6) receptacles.

Mr. Belinko motioned to put four (4) to six (6) trash receptacles in the Town park. Ms. Brienza seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.

Mayor Maneri stated the next portion is regarding the dog waste stations. Mr. Belinko stated with thanks to Mr. Michel's efforts with Sea Scape – the property manager for Parkside – Mr. Michel made arrangements to have Sea Scape purchase and install the dog waste stations. Mr. Michel stated he had Mr. Chris Nichols, of Sea Scape Management, walk the park area with him, and Mr. Nichols stated he would buy and install between four (4) and six (6) dog waste stations as needed, and each dog station will have a trash bin underneath it. Mr. Michel stated only one – the one placed back by the pavilion – would not have a trash bin. Mr. Michel stated the only thing the Town would have to provide is the supplying of dog waste bags. Ms. Ryer asked if the receptacles are aluminum or metal. Mr. Michel stated they are the metal type, painted green – much like the ones in Bishop's

Landing.

Ms. Ryer motioned to have four (4) to six (6) dog waste stations installed at the Town park. Mr. Belinko seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.

C. Discuss and possible vote on Ordinance 21-01 to amend and ratify the Personnel Policy Manual of the Town of Millville.

Ms. Botchie stated after several discussions with Town Solicitor Seth Thompson, they thought it best if the Town had its personnel manual codified by ordinance as we see in several towns. Ms. Botchie stated the attachment to this ordinance is a more clear explanation of what compensatory time is and what flex time is between non-exempt employees and exempt employees. Ms. Botchie stated this is to let employees know that if you're non-exempt, you can earn compensatory time but only when it's approved by the Town Manager, and you can take it if it's approved by the Town Manager. Ms. Botchie stated with non-exempt employees, if they should earn over forty (40) hours, they would receive time-and-a-half. Ms. Botchie stated with a non-exempt employee, should they end their employment with the Town, the Town has to pay them in monies for said time. Ms. Botchie stated exempt employees are eligible for flex time, which means if they have to come to meetings and they go over their seventy-five (75) hours, they can take the flex time if it's approved by the Town Manager. Ms. Botchie stated with exempt employees, they do not get any time over forty (40) hours, and should they end their employment with the Town, exempt employees do not get paid for the flex time. Ms. Botchie stated these are the proposed changes to the manual.

Ms. Ryer asked Mr. Thompson to clarify the minimum time employees can start to record. Mr. Thompson stated the recorded time on the employees' time sheets goes down to less than a minute because the Town is using a hundredths of an hour. Ms. Ryer stated she has a question about where the manual where it clearly states non-exempt employees earn overtime over forty (40) hours but it's silent on how they're earning their compensatory time between thirty-seven-and-a-half (37 ½) and forty (40) hours. Ms. Ryer stated if it's over forty (40) hours, it's time-and-a-half but between 37 ½ and 40, there is no statement regarding how or what kind of time is earned. Mr. Thompson stated the way the Fair Labor Standards Act works, overtime doesn't kick in until going past forty (40) hours, which is how you get time-and-a-half if you're a non-exempt employee. Mr. Thompson stated between thirty-seven-and-a-half (37 ½) and forty (40) hours, the non-exempt employee is earning overtime in compensatory time as just regular time, minute for minute. Ms. Ryer stated in regards to section B, the terms in number one (1) with the accumulated compensatory time off for non-exempt employees or flex time for exempt employees, that time must be used before the end of the fiscal year, which is normal. Ms. Ryer stated the next sentence she questions, which states "no employee may exceed the twenty-five (25) hours of compensatory time or flex time at any point during the fiscal year"; so does that infer you can earn twenty-five (25) hours and then another twenty-five (25) hours and keep building up those hours? Mr. Thompson stated the notion is there isn't a large balance of compensatory time or flex time so the Town wouldn't have to pay an employee for those

hours if the employee were to quit. Mr. Thompson stated let's say a non-exempt employee accrued fifty (50) hours of compensatory time – if this twenty-five (25)-hour limit weren't policy – the Town would have to pay the employee for the fifty (50) hours if the employee quit or was terminated, and this policy is to prevent the Town from having to pay such a high amount and could be a budgetary issue. Mr. Thompson stated that is the reason the twenty-five (25)-hour cap was put on the compensatory time and flex time, and it's made so an employee cannot roll over those high hours into the next fiscal year. Ms. Ryer asked if an employee could accrue something like one-hundred hours of compensatory time per fiscal year. Ms. Botchie stated no. Ms. Ryer stated she would hope if there were some kind of emergency and an employee worked, putting their compensatory time over twenty-five (25) hours, the employee would still be compensated. Mr. Thompson stated yes, in the terms of an employee working in an emergency and it pushes their hours over the twenty-five (25)-hour mark, the Mayor would have the override ability to make decisions for Council and could allow the hours to accrue to that employee's compensatory time.

Mr. Belinko motioned to adopt Ordinance 21-01. Ms. Ryer seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.

8. CITIZENS' PRIVILEGE

There were no comments.

9. ANNOUNCEMENT OF NEXT MEETING - Town Council Workshop Mtg., May 26, 2020

10. ADJOURNMENT

Ms. Brienza motioned to adjourn at 8:27 p.m. Ms. Ryer seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.

Respectfully submitted,
Matt Amerling, Town Clerk